$119[\mathrm{H}] .-T$. A. Ciriani \& A. L. Frisiani, Tabulation of Solutions of the Cubic Equation $z^{3}+A z-A=0$, IBM Italia and Instituto di Elettrotecnica Università di Genova, Genova, Italy, undated ms. of 10 typewritten pp. + a block diagram +32 pp . of tables, deposited in UMT File.
The equation (1) $x^{3}+a x^{2}+b x+c=0$, under the transformation $y=x+$ $(a / 3)$, becomes (2) $y^{3}+p y+q=0$, where $p=\left(3 b-a^{2}\right) / 3$ and $q=\left(2 a^{3}-\right.$ $9 a b+27 c) / 27$. Setting $z=-p y / q$, (2) becomes (3) $z^{3}+A z-A=0$, where $A=p^{3} / q^{2}$. If $z_{1}$ is a root of (3), the other two roots are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2,3}=-\frac{z_{1}}{2} \pm \sqrt{ }\left(-A-\frac{3 z_{1}{ }^{2}}{4}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A \leqq-6.75$, equation (3) has three real roots; for $A>-6.75$, it has one real and two complex conjugate roots.

The tables give all three roots for $\pm A=0.0001$ ( 0.0001 ) 0.01 ( 0.001 ) 0.1 ( 0.005 )$0.5(0.01) 1(0.05) 10(0.1) 20(1) 100(5) 500$, to 8 S . No aids to interpolation are tabulated. In the text it is stated that extensive checks were performed (not described) and that the roots were found accurate to 8 S except in the neighborhood of $A=$ -6.75 (accuracy there not specified).

The computations were performed on an IBM 1401, using 12S. First a real root $z_{1}$ was computed by a method of successive approximations which about halved the error at each step. For $A<-6.75$, the other two real roots were obtained from (4). For $A>-6.75$, a first approximation to the complex pair, $C_{0} \pm j D_{0}$, was obtained from (4) and successively improved, using J. A. Ward's downhill method [1], which appears to about halve the error at each stage.

For $A$ outside the range of the table, namely for $A<-500,|A|<0.0001$ and $A>500$, first approximations to $z_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are given in terms of $A$, with bounds for the relative error that range from $1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ down to $7 \cdot 10^{-4}$, together with a function $\gamma$, expressed in terms of $A$, such that a better approximation may be obtained by multiplying the first approximation by $1+\gamma$.

On p. 8 the statement is made that the only previous tabulation of this form known to the authors extends over a smaller range and gives only the value of a real root. Apparently the authors are unaware of the fact that in H. E. Salzer, C. H. Richards \& I. Arsham, Table for the Solution of Cubic Equations, McGrawHill, New York, 1958, there are similar tables for obtaining all three roots, as functions of an argument $\theta=1 / A$ corresponding to the complete range of $A$.
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120[I].-D. S. Mitrinović \& R. S. Mitrinović, Tableaux d'une classe de nombres reliés aux nombres de Stirling, (a) IV: Belgrade, Mat. Inst., Posebna izdanja, Knjiga 4 (Editions spéciales, 4), 1964, 115 pp., $24 \mathrm{~cm} .,(\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{V}:$ Publ. Fac. Elect. Univ. Belgrade (Série: Math. et Phys.), No. 132, 1965, 22 pp., 24 cm.

The first three installments of these tables were reviewed in Math. Comp., v. 17, 1963, p. 311 and v. 19, 1965, pp. 151-152 (in the latter review, for ${ }^{p} P_{n}{ }^{+}$, read ${ }^{p} P_{n}{ }^{r}$ in two places, for $x^{+}$, read $x^{r}$, and for Instituto, read Istituto).

The fourth and fifth parts continue the tabulation of the integers ${ }^{\nu} S_{n}{ }^{k}$, where

$$
t(t-1) \cdots(t-\nu+1)(t-\nu-1) \cdots(t-n+1)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} S_{n}^{k_{t} n-k}
$$

In the fifth part, at the end of equation (2), for ${ }^{\nu} S_{n}{ }^{n-1}$ read ${ }^{\nu} S_{n}{ }^{n-1}$. The values of ${ }^{\nu} S_{n}{ }^{k}$, already listed in the third part for $n=3(1) 26$, are now given in the fourth part for $n=27(1) 35$ and in the fifth for $n=36$. As before, the other arguments are $\nu=1(1) n-2$ and $k=1(1) n-1$, and all tabulated values are exact; for $n=36$ they involve up to a maximum of 41 digits. The tables were calculated by Ružica S. Mitrinović under the direction of D. S. Mitrinović. Further extensions of the tables are in progress.
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121[K].-B. M. Bennett \& C. Horst, Tables for Testing Significance in a $2 \times 2$ Contingency Table: Extension to Cases $A=41(1) 50$, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Ms. of 55 computer sheets +3 pages of typewritten text deposited in UMT File.
These manuscript tables constitute an extension of Table 2 in the published tables of Finney, Latscha, Bennett, and Hsu [1]. According to the explanatory text, the underlying calculations were performed on an IBM 7094 system, using a program originally developed by Hsu in 1960. For a discussion of the accuracy of this extension as well as the various statistical applications, the user is directed by the authors to the Introduction to the published tables cited.
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$122[\mathrm{~L}] .-\mathrm{H}$. T. Dougherty \& M. E. Johnson, A Tabulation of Airy Functions, National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 228, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1964, 20 pp., 27 cm . Price $\$ 0.20$.
These tables give numerical values for Wait's formulation [1] of the Airy function and its first derivative.

Although Miller's tables [2] are mentioned, the authors seem to have missed the very close connection between Wait's functions and those tabulated by Miller. In fact, the functions now tabulated are

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & =\sqrt{ } \pi B i(t) & u^{\prime}(t) & =\sqrt{ } \pi B i^{\prime}(t) \\
v(t) & =\sqrt{ } \pi A i(t) & v^{\prime}(t) & =\sqrt{ } \pi A i^{\prime}(t) \\
|W(t)| & =\sqrt{ } \pi F(t) & \left|W^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\sqrt{ } \pi G(t) \\
\theta(t) & =\chi(t) & \theta^{\prime}(t) & =\psi(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are all given to 8 S (or 8 D at most), with $\theta(t)$ and $\theta^{\prime}(t)$ in degrees to 5 D , for $t=-6(0.1) 6$.

Thus, the only range for which [2] is not at least as extensive is for $t=-6(0.1)$ -2.5 , where logarithms of $A i(t)$ and $B i(t)$ and logarithmic derivatives are given instead.

It is difficult to understand why these tables were prepared and issued, and why they were computed as they were.
J. C. P. Miller

